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## Summary of the Work

## Main Result

- An explicit expression for \#(correctable errors of weight $d / 2+1$ ) for the first-order Reed-Muller codes is derived
- $d$ : the minimum distance of the code


## Main Techniques

- Monotone error structure (Larger half)
- Monotone error structure appeared in [Peterson, Weldon, 1972]
- Larger half was introduced by [Helleseth, Kløve, Levenshtein, 2005]
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## Problem Setting

- Binary linear code $C \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$
- Error vector $\boldsymbol{e} \in\{0,1\}^{n}$
- If $w(\boldsymbol{e})<d / 2 \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{e}$ is always correctable If $w(\boldsymbol{e}) \geq d / 2 \Rightarrow$ ?
- $w(\boldsymbol{x})$ : the Hamming weight of $\boldsymbol{x}$

In this work, we investigate \#(correctable errors of weight $\boldsymbol{i}$ ) for $\boldsymbol{i} \geq d / 2$

## Correctable/Uncorrectable Errors

- Correctable errors $E^{0}(C)$
= Correctable by Minimum Distance (MD) decoding
- $E^{0}{ }_{i}(C)$ : Correctable errors of weight $\boldsymbol{i}$
- Uncorrectable errors $E^{1}(C)=\{0,1\}^{n} \backslash E^{0}(C)$
- $E^{1}{ }_{i}(C)$ : Uncorrectable errors of weight $\boldsymbol{i}$
- $\left|E_{i}^{0}(C)\right|+\left|E_{i}^{1}(C)\right|=\binom{n}{i}$
- MD decoding
- Output a nearest (w.r.t. Hamming dist.) codeword to the input
- Perform ML decoding for binary symmetric channels
- Syndrome decoding is an MD decoding


## Syndrome Decoding

■ Coset partitioning

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{0,1\}^{n} & =\bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{n-k}} C_{i}, \quad C_{i} \cap C_{j}=\phi \text { for } i \neq j \\
C_{i} & =\left\{\boldsymbol{v}_{i}+\boldsymbol{c}: \boldsymbol{c} \in C\right\}: \text { Coset of } C \\
\boldsymbol{v}_{i} & =\underset{v \in C_{i}}{\arg \min } w(\boldsymbol{v}) \quad: \text { Coset leader of } C_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Syndrome decoding
- Output $y+v_{i}$ if $y \in C_{i}$ ( $\boldsymbol{y}$ is the input)
- Coset leaders = Correctable errors
- Perform MD decoding
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## First-Order Reed-Muller Code

■ $\mathrm{RM}_{m}$ : The first-order Reed-Muller code of length $2^{m}$

- Dimension $=m+1$
- Minimum distance $d=2^{m-1}$
- $\mathrm{RM}_{m} \Leftrightarrow$ Linear Boolean functions with $m$ variables $\left|E^{0}{ }_{i}\left(\mathrm{RM}_{m}\right)\right| \times 2^{m+1}=$ \#(Boolean func. of nonlinearity $\boldsymbol{i}$ )
- Nonlinearity of Boolean function $\boldsymbol{f}$
- Distance between $\boldsymbol{f}$ and linear Boolean functions
- Important criteria for cryptographic applications [Canteaut, Carlet, Charpin, Fontaine, 2001]
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## Previous Results for $\left|E^{0}\left(\mathrm{RM}_{m}\right)\right|$

■ [Berlekamp, Welch, 1972]

- The weight distributions of all cosets of $\mathrm{RM}_{5}$
$\Rightarrow\left|E^{0}\left(\mathrm{RM}_{5}\right)\right|$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$
- By computer
- [Wu, 1998]
- An explicit expression for $\left|E_{d / 2}^{0}\left(\mathrm{RM}_{m}\right)\right|$
- By revealing the structure of coset leaders of weight $d / 2$

1. Coset leaders of weigh $d / 2 \Rightarrow 3$ types
2. Determine \#(coset leaders) for each type
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## Our Results

- An explicit expression for $\left|E_{d / 2+1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{RM}_{m}\right)\right|$
- By using the monotone error structure (Larger half)
- Monotone error structure appeared in [Peterson, Weldon, 1972]
- Larger half was introduced by [Helleseth, Kløve, Levenshtein 2005]
- Lead to \#(Boolean functions of nonlinarity $d / 2+1$ )
- Compared to [Wu, 1998],
- Our approach does not fully reveal the structure of coset leaders of weight $d / 2+1$
$\bullet$ Our approach can give a simpler proof for $\left|E_{d / 2}^{0}\left(\mathrm{RM}_{m}\right)\right|$
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## Monotone Error Structure

- Recall that a coset leader is a minimum weight vector in a coset
- There may be one more minimum weight vectors in the same coset
$\Rightarrow$ Any of them will do
■ If we take the lexicographically smallest one for all cosets,
$\Rightarrow$ Correctable/uncorrectable errors have a monotone structure


## Monotone Error Structure

- Notation
- Support of $\boldsymbol{v}: S(\boldsymbol{v})=\left\{i: \boldsymbol{v}_{i} \neq 0\right\}$
- $\boldsymbol{v}$ is covered by $\boldsymbol{u}: S(\boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq S(\boldsymbol{u})$
- Monotone error structure
$v$ is correctable

$$
\Rightarrow \text { all } \boldsymbol{u} \text { s.t. } S(\boldsymbol{v}) \subseteq S(\boldsymbol{u}) \text { are correctable }
$$

$v$ is uncorrectable

$$
\Rightarrow \text { all } \boldsymbol{u} \text { s.t. } S(\boldsymbol{u}) \supseteq S(\boldsymbol{v}) \text { are uncorrectable }
$$

- Example
- 1100 is correctable $\Rightarrow 0000,1000,0100$ are correctable
- 0011 is uncorrectable $\Rightarrow 1011,0111,1111$ are uncorrectable


## Minimal uncorrectable errors

■ Errors have the monotone structure (w.r.t $\subseteq$ )
$\Rightarrow E^{1}(C)$ is characterized by minimal vectors (w.r.t. $\subseteq$ )

- Minimal uncorrectable errors $M^{1}(C)$
- = Minimal vectors (w.r.t. $\subseteq$ ) in $E^{1}(C)$
- $M^{1}(C)$ uniquely determines $E^{1}(C)$
- Larger half $L H(c)$ of $\boldsymbol{c} \in C$
- Introduced for characterizing $M^{1}(C)$ in [HKL2005]
- Combinatorial construction is given in [HKL2005]
- $M^{1}(C) \subseteq L H(C \backslash\{0\})$, where $L H(S)=\bigcup_{c \in S} L H(\boldsymbol{c})$
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- Observe that the vectors $\boldsymbol{v}$ in
 are non-minimal
$\Rightarrow v$ is obtained by adding a weight-one vector to a minimal uncorrectable error
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- Observe that the vectors $\boldsymbol{v}$ in $\square$ are non-minimal $\Rightarrow v$ is obtained by adding a weight-one vector to a minimal uncorrectable error
$\Rightarrow$ Construct such a set $V_{m} \square$ and determine $\left|V_{m} \backslash W_{m}\right|$


## The Results

- For $m \geq 5$,
$\left|E_{d / 2+1}^{1}\left(\mathrm{RM}_{m}\right)\right|=4\left(2^{m}-1\right)\left(2^{m-3}+1\right)\binom{2^{m-1}}{2^{m-2}+1}-\left(4^{m-2}+3\right)\binom{2^{m}}{3}$
- $\left|E_{d / 2+1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{RM}_{m}\right)\right|+\left|E_{d / 2+1}^{1}\left(\mathrm{RM}_{m}\right)\right|=\binom{2^{m}}{2^{m-2}+1}$


## Conclusions

- \#(correctable errors of weight $d / 2+1$ ) is derived for the first-order Reed-Muller codes
- Monotone error stucture \& larger half are main tools
- Our approch does not reveal the structure of coset leaders of weight $d / 2+1$
- [Wu, 1998] reveals the structure of coset leaders of weight $d / 2$ to derive \#(correctable errors of weight $d / 2$ )

